

From: Christi Grab [REDACTED]

Subject: Connecting the Dots Between AB-1518 and SB-1414 - Did California Legislators Intentionally Decriminalize Child Sex Trafficking?

Date: August 13, 2026 at 12:55 PM

To: [REDACTED] SanDiegoDA@sandiegoda.gov, [REDACTED] GetHope@ospsandiegocounty.gov, [REDACTED] Social Policy Institute Social Policy Institute socialpolicyinstitute@sdsu.edu, [REDACTED] philanthropy@nhcare.org, [REDACTED] info@caalat.org, plintake@nclifeline.org, info@i5freedomnetwork.org, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] info@caichildlaw.org

Cc: Kutlow, Diana [REDACTED]

CG

Ms. Kutlow called me yesterday in response to the below email where I connected the dots between AB-1518 and SB-1414, which appear to have been passed to facilitate unaccompanied migrants being put into child sex trafficking.

Ms. Kutlow confirmed that Ms. Blakespear will not make any efforts to repeal AB-1518 as she believed it was important to protect migrants from the federal government. She stated that she would not address specific counter arguments to the points that I had raised in this email and the two previous emails because I had brought up too many issues for her to address. She further implied that it was ludicrous for me to think that this bill may be used to exploit illegal immigrants. She also stated that I didn't understand how income taxes work — obviously, she didn't bother to check my background as this matter came to my attention because my primary focus is on the state income tax agency.

Ms. Kutlow did not address the fact that Ms. Blakespear is currently working unlawfully without an oath, so I assume that means that Ms. Blakespear has no intention of filing an oath. Working as a legislator without a current oath on file with the Secretary of State is a felony.

It is possible to protect migrants from both the federal government and from human traffickers. The fact that Ms. Kutlow implied they are mutually exclusive is horrifying. I hope all of you will do the right thing and push for this legislation, as well as other pieces of legislation that help further trafficking that were addressed in the second email, be corrected so everyone is properly protected.

Regards,

Christine Grab

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christi Grab [REDACTED]

Subject: Part 3: Connecting the Dots Between AB-1518 and SB-1414 - Did California Legislators Intentionally Decriminalize Child Sex Trafficking?

Date: August 11, 2026 at 4:19:25 PM PDT

To: "Kutlow, Diana" [REDACTED], "Senator.WeberPierson@outreach.senate.ca.gov" [REDACTED] <Senator.WeberPierson@Outreach.senate.ca.ov> Senator Blakespear <Senator.Blakespear@senate.ca.ov>

Cc: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] "Assembl member.Ward@outreach.assembl.ca.ov" [REDACTED] <Assembl member.Ward@Outreach.assembl.ca.ov>

Reply-To: [REDACTED]

With the recent explosion of information about HHS endangering migrant children by not properly vetting the homes that the children were being sent to, I had a horrifying thought: Did California legislators specifically pass AB-1518 and SB-1414

...ensuring that the California legislature specifically passed laws and bills to ensure that the State of California could profit off child sex trafficking?

I. Scott Wiener

Back in 2024, I wrote an article titled “Why Do I Think Scott Wiener is Evil?” where I made a list of specific pieces of legislation that were tied to Mr. Wiener which I believe led to an increase in crime and/or physical harm to constituents, particularly children.

In my opinion, the most concerning issue addressed in that article was the gutting of SB-1414, the bill to make child sex trafficking a felony. Thanks to changes made by the public safety committee (which Mr. Wiener was on), in its final version, the bill only protects some children in some circumstances:

1. Sex trafficking a child who is 16 or 17 year olds was decriminalized, so traffickers and “Johns” can still sell/buy 16 and 17 year olds without fear of felony prosecution.
2. Buying a child who is 15 and under is only a felony if the “John” is a repeat offender, so there are still no protections in place for a child who is with a “John” who has never been caught before. Even if convicted of the felony, they only get jail time, not prison time.
3. There are no punishments for a child selling/purchasing another child. So a 17-year old can traffic/buy an unlimited number of infants with no penalties whatsoever.

II. Dr. Akilah Weber-Pierson

In March of this year, it came to my attention that San Diego Senator Dr. Weber-Pierson was encouraging vulnerable people to give their private information to a San Diego NGO called Dreams for Change (DFC). DFC has had multiple clients allege that they have been sexually assaulted by the staff. The clients also alleged that DFC routinely hired newly released prisoners. DFC was specifically looking for illegal aliens with children, particularly children under six.

I wrote an article titled “Is State Senator Dr. Akilah Weber Pierson Involved in Human Trafficking?” where I pointed out some legislative bills that she’d voted for that helped facilitate human trafficking.

I’d noted that she’d voted for AB-495, which makes it easy for children to be kidnapped from schools via an affidavit. DFC and other NGOs are paid by the State of California to help vulnerable people. The vulnerable people give these NGOs their

private information, so the NGOs have all the information needed to create a false affidavit and kidnap the children.

She also voted for AB-1518, in which the State of California agreed to turn a blind eye to illegal aliens being employed by California companies as long as the employers collect the millionaire income tax rate for the state from the illegals. No proof that labor laws were being followed was required. Not only was the state explicitly allowing the exploitation of illegal aliens, the state itself was profiting off of said exploitation. While AB-1518 only passed at the end of 2025, all it did was make an existing temporary law, AB-2660, permanent. No changes were made to the law itself. AB-2660 was passed in 2020 and went into effect in 2021, so the State of California has been exploiting illegal aliens for the last five years.

III. Catherine Blakespear

A couple of weeks ago, I attended a human trafficking seminar hosted by Catherine Blakespear. They closed the seminar without taking questions from the audience, so as the speakers were getting up to leave the stage, I screamed “Ms. Blakespear, why did you vote for AB-1518?” She didn’t answer. I loudly explained to the room that AB-1518 was literally the State of California running its own labor trafficking ring. One of her staff approached me and asked me to send a follow up email with my concerns. I sent two emails.

One addressed labor trafficking and the other addressed how she had voted for a series of bills which helped facilitate child sex trafficking.

IV. Connecting the Dots

Why wouldn’t sex traffickers utilize AB-1518? Sex trafficking has all kinds of cover operations, such as massage parlors and escort services, where pimps can present themselves as legitimate businesses. Why wouldn’t a sex trafficker pay the State of California the extra income taxes in order to ensure the state didn’t do any investigating into their operation?

And with SB-1414, why wouldn’t the sex traffickers utilize children as a part of their operations? After all, there are zero consequences for trafficking a 16 or 17 year old. If they vetted their Johns to ensure none had prior arrests, there would be zero consequences for trafficking younger children.

Prior to SB-1414, child sex trafficking wasn’t recognized by the State of California as a felony, and what was then AB-2660 ensured that the state could get a cut of the revenue generated by child sex trafficking. It appears to me that the intention of SB-1414 in its final form was to ensure the state could continue to profit off of child sex trafficking.

If my presumption that the legislators intentionally decriminalized child sex trafficking via these two bills is incorrect, then this week they will submit emergency bills to alter both bills so that they better protect potential victims. However, I am betting that the legislators ignore these concerns and allow the child sex trafficking to continue unabated.

Legislators, what are you going to do?