

From: Christi Grab [REDACTED]
Subject: I Am the One Who Made the Scene Last Night at the Human Trafficking Prevention Seminar
Date: July 17, 2026 at 2:50 PM

CG

To: [REDACTED] senator.blakespear@sen.ca.gov
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Cohen, Malia [REDACTED] Emran, Hasib [REDACTED] Regina V. Evans
[REDACTED] Nam, John [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Cervinka, Lynne [REDACTED] Braverman, Sheila
SanDiegoDA@sandiegoda.gov, [REDACTED] GetHope@ospsandiegocounty.gov
GetHope@OSPSanDiegoCounty.gov, socialpolicyinstitute@sdsu.edu
Bcc: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Kutlow:

Thank you for taking the time to come outside to speak with me last night after the seminar concluded. You had asked me to send an email addressing my specific concerns with Ms. Blakespear and why I did not find the symposium on preventing human trafficking to be credible. This email addresses my concerns with Ms. Blakespear.

As we discussed, there are two major issue — both of which I believe to be felonies — that I would like to discuss with Ms. Blakespear.

1. Why did she voted for AB-1518, which is a bill where the State of CA itself facilitates and profits off of labor trafficking, if she claims to be trying to stop trafficking? You had asked for more details about AB 1518:

One of the many laws passed in the current legislative cycle that I believe to be a violation of our constitutional protections is AB-1518 . It was passed in 2025 and codified as Revenue and Tax Code 18537. Ms. Blakespear voted for this law. In reading the legislation, I saw that the same law had passed in 2020 as AB-2660 (chapter 102 Burke), but it was a temporary law that expired at the end of 2025. It was codified as Revenue and Tax Code 18624. The updated version passed in 2025 is the same, it just makes the law permanent.

In reading AB-1518, I found some disturbing details that indicate the State of CA is not only allowing human trafficking, it is profiting off of it. Group tax returns are filed by employers on behalf of their illegal alien employees. The employers are only required to say how many illegal employees they have and the total amount of money the illegals were paid. With no documentation that labor laws are being followed, employers are free to exploit the illegals with forced labor at low wages, long hours and inhumane conditions.

The illegals are charged the highest state tax rate, which is 12.3%. They are also required to pay an extra 1% mental health fee, which is normally only imposed on those who make \$1 million or more per year. Everyone files as single, with no deductions or credits allowed.

I believe this bill qualifies as facilitating a form of human trafficking called Labor Trafficking. The State of California has essentially made a deal with labor traffickers that the state will turn a blind eye to exploitation of illegal aliens in exchange for a big cut of the revenues generated. I highly doubt these illegals are being paid as high of a wage as their American counterparts (and certainly not overtime), yet are probably paying at least triple the state tax rate that they would pay if they were working legally. **Therefore, not only is the state knowingly allowing labor trafficking, the state itself is participating in and profiting from said labor trafficking.**

I believe that every legislator who voted for this bill has committed Treason of Oath as labor trafficking was specifically outlawed in the 13th Amendment of the Constitution. I believe that by voting for this bill, Ms.

Blakespear has proven that totally approves of human trafficking as long as it is the State of CA's ring — and that the purpose of the symposium was to try to shut down the competition so only the State's trafficking ring can flourish.

At last night's event, the DA Summer Stephan and DOJ employee Geanie Franc both swept the abysmal job they are doing at combating labor trafficking under the rug as if it was no big deal. I believe that is because they are only going after employers who are not paying the "protection money" (the excessive taxes) to the "mafia" (the State of CA). The fact that no one discussed how they were going to find ways to work around AB-1518 so they could bust labor traffickers indicated to me that last night's seminar was a sham.

2. Why has Ms. Blakespear intentionally and knowingly refused to sign an oath of office to uphold the constitution and file it with the Secretary of State, as is required by the federal and state constitutions and various state laws?

On December 11, 2025, the SOS responded to a California Public Records Request for Oaths of Office for some legislators. In their response stating they had no Oath of Office on file for Ms. Blakespear:

<https://gwsandiego.net/blog/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/SOS-Response-to-Oath-Request-Oct-2025.pdf>

On February 15, 2026, your office in Sacramento received a copy of the Cease and Demand Notice that I sent to State Controller Malia Cohen. I sent it via USPS priority mail, and I do have a confirmation from USPS that your office received it. In that letter, I demanded that she stop paying all the legislators — including Ms. Blakespear — who failed to take oaths: <https://gwsandiego.net/blog/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/Malia-Cohen-Cease-and-Desist-Pay-From-Elected-Officials-without-oaths.pdf>.

In response to that letter to Ms. Cohen, I received a follow up email from the SOS. In the follow up letter, they stated that Eleni Kounalakis had recently filed an oath and they found several "previously overlooked" oaths. I suspect one of the state senators with an "overlooked" oath pressured the SOS to send the response. In the SOS's response, they also confirmed that Ms. Blakespear did not file an oath: <https://gwsandiego.net/blog/wp-content/uploads/2026/07/Re-Follow-up-to-a-public-records-request-made-more-than-a-month-ago.pdf>. This is why I refer to her as Ms. instead of Senator — she is not legally holding that office.

Failing to file an Oath is a federal felony. Per 5 U.S. Code § 3331, every elected or appointed government official must sign an Oath of Office. Anyone working unlawfully without said executed oath is considered to be a *foreign agent posing as a government agent* and subject to prosecution under US Criminal Code Title 18 U.S.C. § 912.

The legislators can also be prosecuted for committing treason for passing laws without jurisdiction to do so (since they were not lawfully employed they had no legal jurisdiction to act as a legislator) "When a judge acts where he or she does not have jurisdiction to act, the judge is engaged in an act or acts of treason." US v Will, 449 US 200, 216, 101 S Ct, 471, 66 LEd2d 392, 406 (1980) Cohens v Virginia, 19 US (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 LEd 257 (1821)

Why would a legislator intentionally choose not to file an oath? It's because the penalty for violating US Criminal Code Title 18 U.S.C. § 912 is three years in prison. The penalty for Treason of Oath is up to and including death. These legislators likely intended to commit treason as part of their job duties, so they chose the lesser punishment should they be caught.

Since Ms. Blakespear voted for AB-1518 — a bill that specifically violated our constitutional protections -- I believe the lack of oath is intentional to get only three years in prison instead of the death penalty.

Since this email is already long, I will address why I did not find the symposium to be credible in a separate email. If you would like to talk with me further, my phone number is [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I look forward to speaking with you soon.

Regards,

Christine Grab