

From: Christi Grab [REDACTED]
Subject: The SOS States There is No Oath on File for Wiener for the 2025 - 2026 session
Date: March 5, 2025 at 8:14 PM



To: Pfefferkorn, Krista [REDACTED] Esparza, Anna [REDACTED] Mebust, Erik [REDACTED]
Christian, Severiano [REDACTED] Gonzalez-Parra, Rubie [REDACTED]
Fontus, Stella [REDACTED] Mohtashemi, Raayan [REDACTED]
Sparks, Jeff [REDACTED]
Rincon, Omar [REDACTED] Andrews, Michelle [REDACTED]
Wan, Sherman [REDACTED]
Bcc: [REDACTED]

Dear Scott Wiener Staff:

I called both the SF and Sac offices today because I was hoping this news from the SOS was some kind of mistake. After all, Mr. Wiener (not senator without an oath on file) posted on X that he'd signed his oath. But as we have already discussed, an oath is not valid if it is not filed.

The person I talked to in SF was very nice and said he'd look into it.

The person in Sac said he had no idea what I was talking about and would transfer me to someone else. Whoever he transferred me to laughed (it sounded like more than one person laughing) then slammed the phone down. I called again. The phone was picked up and slammed down without a word. I called 4 more times where the phone was hung up without a word (but at least not slammed). The 5th time I called, I was sent to VM, but the system wouldn't let me leave a message. The 6th time I called, it went to VM and I was able to leave a message.

If the SOS has made some kind of error, please send me a copy of his filed oath. However, the State Senate never sent a copy of Mr. Wiener's current oath in response to the LORA request that I'd made for it, which indicates that the SOS has not made an error.

Furthermore, the SOS stated that she doesn't have an oath on file for any of the Wiener staffers that I requested oaths for, so please send over oaths for all current staff, as well. As stated above, I have already made this request via a State Senate LORA request. The oaths were due by December 17, 2024 and I have not gotten them as of yet. The State Senate has violated the LORA laws by failing to respond by December 17 with the oaths, or at least with a date that the response would be fulfilled.

I believe that, based on the behavior detailed above, the Sacramento office has tacitly admitted that the failure to file the oath was intentional. Laughing at me is a clear indication that Mr. Wiener has no intention of filing an oath — but worse, that you guys are smug and glib about him evading the laws.

Why does everyone hate the government? Because we are your employers. We pay your salaries. It is our responsibility as your employers to make sure that you are lawfully employed. And you try to ignore us, treat us like dirt and mock us when we ask you to follow the laws. We are done with "rules for thee, but not for me."

I expect this issue to be timely resolved so that Mr. Wiener and the rest of Wiener's staff are lawfully employed. Remember, it is a felony to work without a fully executed oath, punishable with three years in prison.

Regards

regards,

Christine Grab