From: Christi Grab
Subject: Re: The SOS States There is No Oath on File for Wiener for the 2025 - 2026 session
Date: March 6, 2025 at 5:11 PM

To: Pfefferkorn, Krista
Christian, Severiano
Fontus, Stella S
Fontu

Dear Dominic (I would have addressed you as Mister ____ had you provided your last name):

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me today. I appreciate that you were polite up until abruptly hanging up on me.

Here is a paraphrase of what I believe was the message your'd said during our call: "So what if he didn't file an oath with the SOS? Antiquated laws don't matter any more." If I misunderstood the message, I would appreciate it if you would clarify what you'd meant to communicate.

Here is the notice of legal violations that I served to the state senate in July 2024 regarding Wiener not having an oath filed with the SOS. It details the pertinent laws: https://gwsandiego.net/blog/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Redacted-Notice-of-Legal-Violations-to-Senate-Committee.pdf

This is the petition that I launched to get all of Wiener's legislative work executed between 2019 and 2024 voided as he was fraudulently occupying that senate seat and had no legal standing to do legislative work: https://www.change.org/p/demand-scott-wiener-s-immediate-removal-from-office-for-committing-felony

In answer to your question as to why ALL the legislators would choose not to file an oath for this term:

As I said in our call, not taking and filing an oath is a penalty punishable by three years in prison.

When you hung up on me, I was in the middle of saying that treason of oath is a felony punishable up to and including death.

Given that the Trump administration views the California legislature's protective view regarding undocumented migrants to be treason of oath, I believe that the reason no oaths were filed with the SOS is because the state senate as a collective has taken a calculated risk: they have decided that if they fail in their task of standing up for the migrants, they want their punishment to be 3 years in prison for not taking the oath vs death for committing treason of oath.

The problem with this strategy, of course, is that, because the majority of the senate has not filed oaths, our entire current government is illegitimate and must immediately cease operations.

We'll see if Trump prosecutes. My understanding that posing as a government agent falls under the purview of the military, so you all may be facing military tribunals for your choice to protect the migrants. Again, we'll see...

If you have any further questions, I will be happy to answer them.

As I had said on the phone, Mr. Wiener not timely filing his oaths is a consistent behavior pattern for him. I had hoped that the public humiliation he received for not signing an oath for 2023 - 2024 would have ensured that he'd file this one on time. And, it is certainly possible that the SOS made a mistake. If there was indeed made a mistake, I would appreciate it if you would clear up this mistake by sending me a copy of his current oath stamped as filed with the SOS.

Likewise, if I misunderstood your message that "antiquated laws don't apply to Wiener," I would appreciate clarification of that, as well.

Regards,

Christine Grab

On Mar 5, 2025, at 8:14 PM, Christi Grab wrote:

Dear Scott Wiener Staff:

I called both the SF and Sac offices today because I was hoping this news from the SOS was some kind of mistake. After all, Mr. Wiener (not senator without an oath on file) posted on X that he'd signed his oath. But as we have already discussed, an oath is not valid if it is not filed.

The person I talked to in SF was very nice and said he'd look into it.

The person in Sac said he had no idea what I was talking about and would transfer me to