

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Christine N. Grab



Christine N. Grab, A People of the State of California

COMMON LAW COURT OF RECORD
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA REPUBLIC

Christine N. Grab

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

Erika Contreras

John Nam

Sheila Braverman

Lynne Cervinka

Defendant(s).

) **Certificate of Default,**
) **Third Opportunity to Cure**
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal,

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

I. Legal Precedents re: Challenge to Jurisdiction

1. "Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time," and "Jurisdiction, once challenged, cannot be assumed and must be decided." Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co. 395 F 2d 906, 910

1 2. "When a judge acts where he or she does not have jurisdiction to act, the judge is engaged in an
2 act or acts of treason." US v Will, 449 US 200,216, 101 S Ct, 471, 66 LEd2nd 392, 406 (1980)
3 Cohens V Virginia, 19 US (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5LEd 257 (1821)

4
5 3. "A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid.
6 It is clear and well-established law that a void order can be challenged in any court", OLD WAYNE
7 MUT. L. ASSOC. v. McDONOUGH, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907)

8
9 4. "Where a court failed to observe safeguards, it amounts to denial of due process of law, court is
10 deprived of juris." Merritt v. Hunter, C.A. Kansas 170 F2d 739

11
12 5. "...[H]owever late this objection [to jurisdiction] has been made, or may be made in any cause, in
13 an inferior or appellate court of the United States, it must be considered and decided, BEFORE any
14 court can move ONE FURTHER STEP IN THE CAUSE; as any movement is necessarily the
15 exercise of jurisdiction." RHODE ISLAND MASSACHUSETTS, 37 U.S. 657, 718, 9 L.Ed. 1233
16 (1838).

17
18 6. "Indeed, no more than (affidavits) are necessary to make the prima facie case." United States v.
19 Kis, 658 F.2nd, 526, 536 (7th Cir. 1981); Cert Denied, 50 U.S. L.W. 2169; S. Ct. March 22, 1982

20
21
22 **II. Maxims of Law**

23 1. All men and women know that the foundation of law and commerce exists in the telling of the
24 truth, and nothing but the truth.

25 2. Truth, as a valid statement of reality, is sovereign in commerce.

26 3. An un rebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce.

27 4. An un rebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgment in commerce.
28

1 5. Guaranteed- All men shall have a remedy by the due course of law. If a remedy does not exist, or
2 if the remedy has been subverted, then one may create a remedy for themselves - and endow it with
3 credibility by expressing it in their affidavit.
4

5 **III. Statement of True Facts**

6 A. On July 12, 2024, Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman were each
7 served a verified *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure*. Proof of service can be found on
8 USPS.com:
9

- 10 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9991 4192 3638 37
- 11 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9991 4192 3638 51
- 12 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9991 4192 3638 75
- 13 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9991 4192 3638 99
- 14
- 15

16 B. In the verified *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure*, in Section I, titled *Statement of*
17 *True Facts*, I documented that:
18

19 1. I am a legal resident of the State of California and over 18-years of age, thus I have legal
20 jurisdiction to file the verified *Notice of Legal Violations* that was served on July 12, 2024, the
21 verified *Writ of Quo Warranto* which was served on August 9, 2024, and this verified *Certificate of*
22 *Default*.
23

24
25 2 - 9. In order to be lawfully employed, all employees of the government in the State of California
26 are required to take an oath swearing to uphold the laws of the federal and state constitutions. The
27 oaths must be subscribed. If someone is elected or appointed to the job by an elected person, they
28

1 are to take an Oath of Office. The Oath of Office must be filed with the Secretary of State and
2 expires at the end of the term.

- 3 • Federal Requirements: 5 U.S. Code § 3331 and 5 U.S.C. 3333
- 4 • State Requirements: California Government Code Sections 1027, 1360, 1362-1369, 18150 -
5 18158, and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California
6

7
8 10. Per California Government Code Section 18154: “Any person who is appointed to a State
9 position not in the State civil service and who fails to take the oath required by this chapter within
10 the time provided forthwith forfeits his right to his position, and the position shall be considered
11 vacant.”
12

13
14 11. Anyone who is working unlawfully without said executed oath is considered to be *a foreign*
15 *agent posing as a government agent* and subject to prosecution under US Criminal Code Title 18
16 U.S.C. § 912.
17

18 12. When the words UNITED STATES and/or STATE OF CALIFORNIA are in all capital letters,
19 that refers to a private corporation which is utilizing the same name as our country/state in order to
20 masquerade as the legitimate government. Hence, the oath was made to this private corporation and
21 not to the real United States and/or State of California. Everyone utilizing these improper oaths are
22 considered a *foreign agent posing as a government agent* in violation of Title 18 U.S.C § 912.
23
24

25 13. Someone who writes their name in all capital letters on a legal document is considered a
26 fictitious corporate entity and not a human person. Anyone who takes the oath as a fictitious person
27 is considered a *foreign agent posing as a government agent*.
28

1
2 14 – 17. On November 6, 2023, Secretary of the Senate Erika Contreras violated The Brown Act,
3 §54950.5, Cal. Civ. Code, by responding to my Legislative Open Records Act (LORA) request with
4 redacted oaths. The redactions made it impossible for “we the people” – *who are the employers of*
5 *public servants* – to know whether the oaths had actually been sworn and/or subscribed in
6 accordance with the above listed federal and state laws.
7

8 Ms. Contreras justified this violation of the Brown Act by citing a law stating that
9 information could be redacted if it was “an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Since
10 disclosure of whether our public servants are working lawfully is not an “an unwarranted invasion
11 of personal privacy,” I believe that Ms. Contreras committed the felony of 18 USC 242,
12 *Deprivation of Rights Under the Color of Law*.
13
14

15 18. On November 13, 2023, I sent an email to Secretary of the Senate Erika Contreras, her deputy,
16 John Nam and Human Resources executive Sheila Braverman in which I stated that, in lieu of
17 unredacted oaths, I would accept a certification taken under penalty of perjury that the oaths were
18 properly executed. Secretary of the Senate Erika Contreras, her deputy, John Nam and Human
19 Resources executive Sheila Braverman failed to provide certifications confirming that the people
20 named were working lawfully.
21

22 I believe that this failure to provide certifications to be *prima fascia* evidence that all three
23 of them were aware that one or more of the people named were unlawfully employed due to oath
24 violations.
25

26 19. Despite the redactions, it is still evident that 100% of the redacted oaths do not comply with the
27 aforementioned oath laws.
28

1
2 20 - 21. By allowing the twenty (20) people named in Section II of the *Notice*, titled *Specific Oath*
3 *Violations*, to continue to work for the State of California without proper oaths, Secretary of State
4 Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources Executive Sheila
5 Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka have each violated CGCS 1027, which
6 is a misdemeanor.
7

8
9 22 - 24. Per both Federal and California law, surety bonds are required to be issued on every
10 government employee. This is codified in California Government Code Section 1450 – 1463. The
11 public is allowed to see copies of this bond upon request, and the bonds are to be filed with the
12 Secretary of State.
13

14
15 25. On March 27, 2024, the Secretary of State confirmed that none of the twenty-six (26) people
16 named in Section II of the *Notice*, titled *Specific Oath Violations*, had a bond on file.
17

18 C. In the verified *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure*, in Section II, titled *Specific*
19 *Oath Violations*, I named twenty (20) people who are working unlawfully because they did not have
20 a current, properly executed oath nor a bond:
21

22 Brown, Samantha; Case, Doug; Davis, Grace; Duran, Antoinette; Eisberg, Ryan; Favorini-
23 Csorba, Anton; Fritz, Niesha; Griffiths, Diane; Grinnell, Colin; Hardeman, Nicholas;
24 Henderson, Vanessa; Ison, Pamela; Nam, John; Peterson, Jonathan; Reed, Cole; Rodriguez,
25 Kimberly; Suseberry, Tylisa; Vallejo, Christopher; Weisz, Jason; Wiener, Scott

26 I also named an additional three (3) people who do have current, proper oaths on file, but were
27 working unlawfully because they did not have a surety bond in place:
28

1 Caballero, Anna; Durazo, Maria Elena; Seyarto, Kelly

2
3 D. In the verified *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure*, in Section III, titled *Terms to*
4 *Cure Legal Violations*, I documented that:

5
6
7 1. Secretary of State Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources
8 Executive Sheila Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka had ten (10) business
9 days from date of service to cure the documented legal violations.

10
11 2. Secretary of State Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources
12 Executive Sheila Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka working together to
13 allow the named people to continue unlawful employment in violation of CGCS 1027 is a
14 *Conspiracy to Commit a Misdemeanor* – which is a felony.

15
16
17 3. Secretary of State Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources
18 Executive Sheila Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka had ten (10) business
19 days from date of service to prove that they themselves were working lawfully in accordance with
20 the aforementioned laws.

21
22
23 4. In order to prove lawful employment, the Senate Committee was required to provide me with
24 copies of the unredacted, fully compliant and properly executed oaths which have been filed with
25 the Secretary of State for the twenty (20) people named above who do not have oaths, along with
26 the oaths for Erika Contreras, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman. Furthermore, the Senate
27 Committee was required to provide me with copies of the surety bonds that have been filed with the
28

1 Secretary of State for the twenty-three (23) people named above who do not have bonds, as well as
2 copies of the bonds for Erika Contreras, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman.

3
4 5. If Secretary of State Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources
5 Executive Sheila Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka complied, the matter
6 would be considered cured.
7

8
9 6 – 7. If Secretary of State Erika Contreras, Deputy Secretary of State John Nam, Human Resources
10 Executive Sheila Braverman and Human Resources Executive Lynn Cervinka failed to comply, I
11 would report them to the proper magistrate in accordance with 18 USC §4 *Misprision of Felony*. In
12 addition, they each would *personally* incur \$11,700,000 each in penalties for violating multiple
13 federal laws in accordance with the Federal Fee Schedule:
14

- 15 • Denied Right of Truth in Evidence: \$250,000 x 26 employees (per 18 USC 3571)
- 16 • Conspiracy Against Rights: \$200,000 x 26 employees (per 18 USC 241)
- 17 • Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law: \$200,000 x 26 employees (per 18 USC 242)
- 18 • Total penalty: \$11,700,000 each

19
20 John Nam is fined an additional \$200,000 as he signed an oath to a foreign corporation (per USC
21 219).

22
23 E. In addition to physical hard copy service, I emailed copies of the verified *Notice of Legal*
24 *Violations – Opportunity to Cure* to the following:
25
26
27
28

1 1. Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynne Cervinka, Sheila Braverman, Chinook Shin, and Jocelyn
2 Twilla on July 13, 2024 at 11:25 am. An auto-responder from Ms. Cervinka and Ms. Braverman
3 confirmed they each received the email.
4

5
6 2. Samantha Brown, Doug Case, Grace Davis, Toni Duran, Ryan Eisberg and Anton Favorini-
7 Csorba on July 13, 2024 at 11:41 am. An auto-responder from Ms. Davis confirmed that she
8 received the email. On July 14, 2024 at 5:37 pm, I forwarded a copy of the email containing the
9 *Notice* to a general mailbox for Senator Atkins, Senator.Atkins@senate.ca.gov. An auto-responder
10 confirmed that the message had been received.
11

12
13 3. Neisha Fritz, Diane Griffiths, Colin Grinnell, Nick Hardeman, Vanessa Henderson, and Pamela
14 Ison on July 13, 2024 at 11:47 am. Pamela Ison's auto-responder stated that she is no longer
15 employed by Toni Atkins office. On Monday, August 5, 2024, Tylisa Suseberry stated in a phone
16 call with me that she believed that Ms. Ison has left the employment of the California State Senate
17 altogether. Ms. Suseberry also failed to answer when I asked if she had signed a proper oath yet.
18 On July 14, 2024 at 5:37 pm, I forwarded a copy of this email containing the *Notice* to a general
19 mailbox for Senator Atkins, Senator.Atkins@senate.ca.gov. An auto-responder confirmed that the
20 message had been received.
21

22
23 4. Jonathan Peterson, Cole Reed, Kimberly Rodriguez, Tylisa Suseberry, Christopher Vallejo and
24 Jason Weisz at on July 13, 2024 at 11:51 am. An auto-responder from Ms. Rodriguez confirmed
25 that she received the email. On July 14, 2024 at 5:37 pm, I forwarded a copy of this email
26 containing the *Notice* to a general mailbox for Senator Atkins, Senator.Atkins@senate.ca.gov. An
27 auto-responder confirmed that the message had been received.
28

1
2 5. State Senator Anna Caballero and fourteen (14) of her staff on July 13, 2024 at 12:09 pm. I
3 received four (4) messages from her staff's auto-responders confirmed that the emails had been
4 received.

5
6
7 6. On July 14, 2024 at 5:19 pm, a copy was sent to State Senator Scott Wiener's personal email, the
8 general email for requesting a meeting, and thirteen (13) of his staff: Krista Pfefferkorn, Christian
9 Severiano, Stella Fontus, Jack Persons, Tate Hanna, Raayan Mohtashemi, Elisa Yeung, Michelle
10 Andrews, Erik Mebust, Rubie Gonzalez-Parra, Jeff Sparks and Francis Zhang and Omar Rincon. I
11 received two (2) messages from his staff's auto-responders confirming that the emails had been
12 received, one from Krista Pfefferkorn and the other from Erik Mebust. On August 5, 2024, I
13 forwarded the email containing the *Notice* to a staffer named Cesar Macias at 12:09 pm, after Mr.
14 Macias indicated on a phone call with me that he was unaware of Senator Wiener's oath/bond
15 violations. On August 29, 2024 at 8:49 pm, I forwarded a copy of the *Notice* to staffer Anna
16 Esparza after she told my colleague that she was personally unaware of the details of the
17 controversy regarding Wiener's lack of oath, but had been told to tell people inquiring about the
18 oath situation that "Wiener was working appropriately."
19
20

21
22 7. Lieutenant-Governor Eleni Kounalakis (who oversees the State Senate), State Senator Shannon
23 Groves, State Senator John Laird, State Senator Toni Atkins, the Senate Rules general email, and
24 fifteen State Senate staffers on July 14, 2024 at 5:30 pm. A copy of the *Notice* was also sent to
25 Senator Groves staffer, Elizabeth Watson, on August 12, 2024 at 1:33 pm.
26
27
28

1 8. State Senator Durazo’s personal email, two of her staff, and her generic contact email address of
2 senator.durazo@sen.ca.gov on July 15, 2024 at 10:26 am.

3
4 9. Senate President *Pro Tempore* McGuire’s personal and generic office email addresses, State
5 Senator Talamantes-Eggman’s personal and generic office email addresses, and State Senator Brian
6 Jones personal and generic office email addresses on August 12 at 12:41 pm. A copy was forwarded
7 to Senator McGuire’s staffer, Cayley Chan, on August 16, 2024 at 3:03 pm

8
9
10 10. State Senator Seyarto’s personal and generic contact email addresses on August 12, 2024 at 1:18
11 pm. A copy was forwarded to Seyarto staff members Nicolas Gauthier and Joe Fuentes on August
12 13, 2024 at 10:35 am. A copy was forwarded to staffer Christian Lopez on September 13, 2024 at
13 10:50 am.

14
15
16 11. Office of Legislative Counsel employees Stephen Dehrer and Cara Jenkins on September 21,
17 2024 at 8:55 am. These were the two lawyers who I named on pages 6 and 7 of the *Notice of Legal*
18 *Violations* for committing fraud. Mr. Dehrer and Ms. Jenkins made false statements that I had
19 already received a copy of Mr. Weisz’s signed oath (the oath that I’d received had no visible
20 signatures) and claimed that oaths did not expire. In order to perpetrate this fraud, they
21 misrepresented the contents of the laws regarding oaths. They cited Article XX, Sec. 3 of the
22 California constitution and omitted CGCS 1363, which was the true relevant statute.

23
24
25 F. I believe that each of the twenty-three (23) people who were named for working unlawfully
26 without an oath and/or bond in the verified *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure* has
27 received a copy of the *Notice* and is aware that they are working unlawfully.

1
2 G. Since State Senate management, oversight personnel and lawyers were also emailed a copy of
3 the *Notice*, I believe that upper management is aware of the fact that their organization has a
4 relatively large number of people unlawfully employed under their purview.
5

6
7 H. The deadline of July 26, 2024, to provide copies of current, properly executed oaths and bonds
8 passed with no response from any of the four served in the *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity*
9 *to Cure* (Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynne Cervinka, and Sheila Braverman), nor any of the
10 twenty-three other people who were named for working unlawfully without an oath and/or bond,
11 nor any of the management, oversight staff, or lawyers.
12

13
14 I. On August 9, 2024, Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman were each
15 served a verified *Writ of Quo Warranto*. Proof of service can be found on USPS.com:

- 16 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9994 4220 4128 86
 - 17 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9994 4220 4129 09
 - 18 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9994 4220 4129 23
 - 19 • USPS Two Day Shipping tracking number: 9505 5158 9994 4220 4129 47
- 20
21

22 J. In the opening of the verified *Writ of Quo Warranto*, I documented that a *Writ of Quo Warranto*
23 is a special form of legal action used to resolve a dispute over whether a specific person has the
24 legal right to hold the public office that (s)he occupies. The remedy of quo warranto is vested in the
25 People, and not in any private individual or group, because the question of who has the right to hold
26 a public office is a matter of public concern, not a private dispute.
27
28

1 In this case, I asserted that the twenty-six (26) people named do not have the right to hold
2 office because they failed to comply with state and federal oath and/or surety bond laws. Thus, per
3 California Government Code Section 18154, all of their positions are considered vacant and these
4 people hold no jurisdiction/right to work in the State Senate.

5 I also documented that per *Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co.* 395 F 2d 906, 910, this matter
6 of lack of jurisdiction cannot be ignored; it must be properly remedied: "Jurisdiction can be
7 challenged at any time," and "Jurisdiction, once challenged, cannot be assumed and must be
8 decided." As such, the State Senate was required by law to respond to the verified *Writ of Quo*
9 *Warranto* by either removing the unlawful employees or by proving that each of the people named
10 had corrected their violations so that they were each lawfully employed and thus have lawful
11 jurisdiction.
12
13
14
15

16 K. In *Section I. Statement of True Facts*, item I of the *Writ*, I documented that per *Maxims of Law*
17 #3, an un rebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. By failing to deny any of the allegations
18 presented in the *Notice of Legal Violations – Opportunity to Cure*, Erika Contreras, John Nam,
19 Lynne Cervinka, and Sheila Braverman have tacitly agreed to accept all claims made in the
20 document as true (page 10). Thus, they each agree that all twenty-six people named are unlawfully
21 employed.
22

23
24 L. In *Section I. Statement of True Facts*, item J of the *Writ*, I documented that per *Maxims of Law*
25 #4, an un rebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgment in commerce. By not disputing the True
26 Bill, Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman have all agreed that the True
27
28

1 Bill presented to each of them is valid. I am entitled to a judgment as a matter of fact and law (page
2 10). Therefore, the True Bill is currently due and must be paid in full.

3 As described above in *Section III. Statement of True Facts*, item D 6-7, the total due for
4 Erika Contreras, Lynne Cervinka and Sheila Braverman was \$11,700,000 each, and the total for
5 John Nam was \$11,900,000. However, as documented below in section *III. Statement of True Facts*,
6 item V, the True Bill has increased as they have each committed more legal violations.
7

8
9 M. In *Section I. Statement of True Facts*, item K of the *Writ*, I documented that per *Maxims of Law*
10 #4, an un rebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgment in commerce. The State Senate has not
11 denied the allegations that the twenty-six (26) people named are unlawfully employed in accordance
12 with 5 U.S. Code § 3331 and 5 U.S.C. 3333, California Government Code Sections 1027, 1360,
13 1362-1369, 18150 -18158, and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California. The State
14 Senate has failed to make any effort to prove that any of the twenty-five (25) remaining people has
15 attempted to correct these legal violation so that these twenty-five (25) people do have legal
16 standing. As such, all twenty-five (25) remaining people must be removed from office because they
17 have invoked the self-executing Sections 3 & 4 of the 14th Amendment by which they have vacated
18 their respective offices and forfeited all benefits thereof, including salaries and pensions (page 11).
19
20

21
22 N. In *Section II. Remedy to Cure* of the verified *Writ of Quo Warranto*, I documented that per
23 *Maxims of Law #5*, "Guaranteed- All men shall have a remedy by the due course of law." In items 2
24 - 6, I set the terms for remedy:
25
26
27
28

1 2 – 3. The resignation and vacating of positions of all twenty-five (25) remaining people named
2 above by August 16, 2024 (Pam Ison had already vacated her position). Proof that all replacements
3 had properly executed oaths and surety bonds filed with the Secretary of State must be provided.
4

5
6 4. Scott Wiener was to be indicted per US Criminal Code Title 18 U.S.C. § 912 for knowingly
7 acting *a foreign agent posing as a government agent* and for committing treason by passing laws
8 without jurisdiction to do so per US v Will, 449 US 200,216, 101 S Ct, 471, 66 LEd2nd 392, 406
9 (1980) Cohens V Virginia, 19 US (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5LEd 257 (1821). Furthermore,

10 Krista Pfefferkorn, Senator Wiener’s Chief of Staff, was also be prosecuted for *Conspiring to Cover*
11 *Up Criminal Activities* and for *Deprivation of Rights Under the Color of Law* (18 USC 242).
12

13
14 5. Due to this lack of legal jurisdiction to draft and submit legislation, all legislative bills authored,
15 co-authored and/or sponsored by Scott Wiener since his oath expired at the end of 2018 must be
16 voided in accordance with CGCS 18154.

17 6. If all four conditions above were met, then the matter would be considered remediated and the
18 four True Bills will be voided. However, if the four people served failed to comply with the terms
19 for resolution, then the True Bill would become payable in full.
20

21
22 O. In addition to physical hard copy service, I emailed copies the verified *Writ of Quo Warranto* to
23 the following:

24 1. John Nam, Erika Contreras, Sheila Braverman and Lynne Cervinka on August 9, 2024 at 4:22
25 pm.
26
27
28

1 2. Doug Case, Grace Davis, Anton Favorini-Csorba, Antoinette Duran, Ryan Eisberg, Neisha Fritz,
2 Diane Griffiths, Colin Grinnell, Nicholas Hardeman, Vanessa Henderson, Pam Ison, Jonathan
3 Peterson, Cole Reed, Kimberly Rodriguez, Tylisa Susberry, Christopher Vallejo and Jason Weisz
4 on August 9, 2024 at 4:43 PM. An auto-responder confirmed that Jason Weisz received the
5 message. A copy was sent to Samantha Brown at 4:44 pm. A copy was forwarded to Senator Atkins
6 personal and generic contact email addresses on August 12, 2024 at 11:55 AM. An auto-responder
7 message from the generic office email address confirmed that the message had been received.
8

9
10 3. Senator Wiener's generic office email address, the address to request meetings and fourteen (14)
11 of his staffers -- Krista Pfefferkorn, Cesar Macias, Christian Severiano, Stella Fontus, Jack Persons,
12 Tate Hanna, Raayan Mohtashemi, Elisa Yeung, Michelle Andrews, Erik Mebust, Rubie Gonzalez-
13 Parra, Jeff Sparks, Francis Zhang, and Omar Rincon on August 9, 2024 at 4:31:11 PM. An auto-
14 responder confirmed that Ms. Gonzalez-Parra had received the message. On August 29, 2024 at
15 8:44 pm, a copy of this email was also forwarded to staffer Anna Esparza.
16

17
18 4. Anna Caballero's personal and generic office email addresses, along with thirteen (13) of her
19 staffers on August 12, 2024 at 1:02 pm.
20

21
22 5. Senator Durazo's personal and generic office email addresses, along with two (2) of her staffers
23 on August 12, 2024 at 12:48 pm.
24

25 6. Senator Seyarto's personal and generic office email addresses on August 12, 2024 at 1:14 pm. A
26 copy was forwarded to staffers Nicolas Gauthier and Joe Fuentes on August 13, 2024 at 10:36 am.
27 A copy was forwarded to staffer Christian Lopez on September 14, 2024 at 11:10 am.
28

1
2 7. Lieutenant-Governor Eleni Kounalakis (who oversees the State Senate), State Senator Shannon
3 Grove's personal and generic office email addresses, State Senator John Laird's personal and
4 generic office email addresses, the Senate Rules Committee email and fifteen (15) State Senate
5 Staffers on August 12, 2024 at 11:53 am. A copy was also forwarded to Senator Grove's staffer
6 Elizabeth Watson on August 12, 2024 at 1:31 pm
7

8
9 8. Senate President *Pro Tempore* Mike McGuire (who oversees the State Senate), State Senator
10 Brian Jones, and State Senator Susan Talamantes Eggman's personal and generic office email
11 addresses August 12, 2024 at 12:31 PM. A copy was forwarded to Mike McGuire's staffer, Cayley
12 Chan on August 16, 2024 at 2:57 pm.
13

14
15 9. Ten (10) more State Senate Staffers, including Chinook Shin and Jocelyn Twilla, on August 12,
16 2024 at 12:31 PM PDT

17 10. Office of Legislative Counsel employees Stephen Dehrer and Cara Jenkins on September 21,
18 2024 at 8:53 am
19

20
21 P. I believe that each of the twenty-five (25) remaining people who were named for working
22 unlawfully without oaths and/or bonds in the verified *Writ of Quo Warranto* has received a copy of
23 the *Writ* and are aware that they are working unlawfully.
24

25 Q. Since State Senate management, oversight personnel and lawyers were also emailed a copy of
26 the *Writ*, I believe that upper management is aware of the fact that their organization has a relatively
27 large number of people unlawfully employed under their purview.
28

1
2 R. The deadline of August 16, 2024, to provide copies of current, properly executed oaths and/or
3 bonds passed with no response from any of the four served in the verified *Writ of Quo Warranto*
4 (Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynne Cervinka, and Sheila Braverman), nor any of the twenty-three
5 other people who were named for working unlawfully without oaths and/or bonds, nor any of the
6 management, oversight staff or lawyers.
7

8
9 S. Per *Maxims of Law #3*, an un rebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. By failing to deny
10 any of the allegations presented in the verified *Notice of Legal Violations* and in the verified *Writ of*
11 *Quo Warranto*, Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynne Cervinka, and Sheila Braverman have tacitly
12 agreed to accept all claims made in both sets of document as true.
13

14
15 T. Per *Maxims of Law #4*, an un rebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgment in commerce. By
16 not disputing the True Bill presented in both the *Notice of Legal Violations* and in the *Writ of Quo*
17 *Warranto*, Erika Contreras, John Nam, Lynn Cervinka and Sheila Braverman have all agreed that
18 the True Bill presented to each of them is valid. I am entitled to a judgment as a matter of fact and
19 law. Therefore, these bills are currently due and must be paid in full.
20

21 As described above in *Section III. Statement of True Facts*, item D 6 – 7, the previous total
22 due for Erika Contreras, Lynne Cervinka and Sheila Braverman was \$11,700,000 each, and the total
23 for John Nam was \$11,900,000. However, as is detailed below in *Section III. Statement of True*
24 *Facts*, item V, the totals have now increased as they have each committed more legal violations.
25

26 U. Per *Maxims of Law #4*, an un rebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgment in commerce. The
27 State Senate has not denied the allegations that the twenty-five (25) remaining people named are
28