

**From:** Christi Grab [redacted]  
**Subject:** I still have not received any kind of confirmation that Wiener or Weisz has a current oath on file with the SOS  
**Date:** January 15, 2024 at 3:17 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]

CG

[redacted]  
Persons, Jack  
SD11.Scheduler@sen.ca.gov, Nam, John  
Cervinka, Lynne Braverman, Sheila  
Ison, Pamela Senate Rules Senate.Rules@sen.ca.gov

Dear State Senator Scott Weiner Staff and Senate Committee

I have not gotten anything from the Senate Committee as of yet confirming that either Mr. Wiener or Mr. Weisz has filed an oath with the Secretary of State's Office.

Please provide me proof that Mr. Wiener is working lawfully with a current oath of office no later than 5:00 pm today. Ms. Pfefferkorn, if your statement that Mr. Wiener does have a current oath on file with the SOS is true, then I suggest that you take matters into your own hands and send it over yourself as the Senate Committee has stopped responding to me altogether.

Regards,

Christine Grab

On Jan 12, 2024, at 2:53 PM, Christi Grab <[redacted]> wrote:

Dear State Senator Scott Weiner Staff and Senate Committee:

I spoke with Ms. Pfefferkorn yesterday, who pointed out that Mr. Wiener's name had been spelled wrong on the SOS response. She assured me that current oaths had been filed.

However, as you can see from the attachment, according to the Senate Committee, the only Oath of Office that Mr. Wiener filed expired in 2018. If a more current oath existed, I am sure the Senate Committee would have provided it, but this is all they have provided.

So again, I request that Mr. Wiener file a current Oath of Office today or that he resign today.

I'd like to explain why I contacted both the Senate Committee and the SOS:

On August 31, 2023, I requested the oaths for several people, including State Senator Weiner. Per the LORA laws, the oaths were due to be sent to me no later than September 15, 2023.

The Senate Committee did not fulfill this request until November 6, 2023. While Mr. Wiener's oath was not redacted, most of the other oaths were redacted to hide all signatures, so there is no way to know if these other oaths were properly executed.

In the interim between when the documents were due and when they were sent, I called John Nam in Senate Operations nearly every day to follow up. Mr. Nam refused to give me a date that he would respond — in fact, he refused to assure me that he would respond.

Needless to say, I believed that Mr. Nam was playing games with me. My perception of the situation was that at least one of the people on the list did not have a current, properly executed oath and Mr. Nam was trying to hide that information from me. I believed that Mr. Nam knew that it was unlawful to not turn over the documents, so instead, his plan was to keep the request "in process" forever until I finally gave up and went away.

Exhibit 25 - 3 of 6



Begin forwarded message

From: NotaryMail <[NotaryMail@sos.ca.gov](mailto:NotaryMail@sos.ca.gov)>  
Subject: Oath - Scott Weiner  
Date: November 13, 2023 at 1:41:25 PM PST  
To: [REDACTED]

Good afternoon, Christi,

I did another search for the oath of office for Senator Scott Weiner and was unable to locate an oath on file.

Regards,  
Toni Baxter, Analyst  
Notary Public & Special Filings Section  
California Secretary of State  
Shirley N. Weber, Ph.D.

T: [REDACTED]  
E: [REDACTED]

<image001.png>

[bizfile.sos.ca.gov](http://bizfile.sos.ca.gov)

*Making it easier to do business in California!  
Save money and paper by filing online.*

**Confidentiality Notice:** This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Exhibit 26- 5 of 6