

**From:** Christi Grab [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Solution to the redactions dilemma/Reminder of the LORA request due today  
**Date:** January 24, 2024 at 7:51 AM

CG

**To:** [REDACTED] Dehrer, Stephen [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], Weisz, Jason [REDACTED]; Ison, Pamela [REDACTED]

So that you are in the loop...

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Christi Grab [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Solution to the redactions dilemma/Reminder of the LORA request due today  
**Date:** November 13, 2023 at 9:51:09 AM PST  
**To:** Senate Rules <Senate.Rules@sen.ca.gov>, "Nam, John" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Braverman, Sheila" [REDACTED]; "Juarez, Helen" [REDACTED]

Hi Ms. Contreras and Mr. Nam:

As discussed in previous emails, the purpose of providing copies of the executed oaths is for the public to see the signatures. It is only with the signatures that we to know whether the oath was taken and witnessed in accordance with 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California. By redacting the signatures, we cannot know if our public servants have followed the law.

Ms. Contreras has stated that the redactions are necessary to protect privacy.

It is my belief that by redacting the signatures on the oath, you have not allowed me to know whether my public servants have complied with the law by signing the oath and not allowed me to know if my public servants have committed to upholding the laws of the state and federal constitutions. I believe that redacting the signatures on the oaths is a violation of the Brown Act, which states:

*"The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created." §54950.5, Cal. Civ. Code, Brown Act.*

I believe that I have come up with a compromise that will make everyone happy. I request a certification for each redacted oath where you state under penalty of perjury that you have examined the original document and determined that all of the redacted signatures are valid and that the oath is properly executed in accordance with 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California.

If you provide this certification for each of the oaths that has been redacted, I will accept this as a valid alternative to seeing the signatures for myself.

Failure to provide these certifications will indicate to me that you have intentionally redacted the signatures in order to hide evidence that one or more of the oaths was not properly executed.

Please let me know today by the end of the day if you will provide the certifications, as well as an time estimate as to when you can send these certifications to me.

Exhibit 2 - 1 of 2

Also, please remember that the LORA request regarding disclosure of policy and procedure around oaths and LORA fulfillment is due today (I had forgotten Friday was a holiday when I wrote the email on November 8, 2023).

I hope that you had a nice holiday weekend.

Regards,

Christine Grab