From: Christi Grab

Subject: Government Code Section 3105: The oath is considered a public record.

Date: February 22, 2024 at 1:10 PM

To: Londenberg, Kathryn

olc.humanresources@lc.ca.gov

olc.humanresources@LC.ca.gov

### Dear Ms. Londenberg:

As previously stated in the numerous emails I have sent and messages that I have left, I believe you have unlawfully turned down my request for copies of oaths/bonds for OLC employees and information regarding policies and procedures regarding the issuance of oaths and bonds.

# 1. Oaths are required to be turned over:

As required in Government Code Section 3105, all oaths for public employees and all volunteers... shall be filed in the official employee file within 30 days of the date the oath is executed. The oath is considered a public record.

# 2. Bonds are required to be turned over:

CA Gov't Code Section 1460: "Every officer with whom official bonds are filed shall carefully keep and preserve the bonds. He shall give certified copies thereof to any person demanding copies, upon being paid the same fees as are allowed by law for certified copies of papers in other cases."

And policies and procedures used to ensure that our employees are complying with the laws are required to be turned over

"The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created." §54950.5, Cal. Civ. Code, Brown Act.

At this point, I believe that the failure to turn over documents is because Mr. Dehrer and/or Ms. Jenkins are unlawfully employed and you are trying to cover it up. I see know other reason as to why you would fail to provide the responsive documents.

CA Gov't Code Section 1027: "Every person who exercises the duties of any employment in violation of the provisions of this article relative to oaths, and every person who knowingly employs a person ineligible by reason of the provisions of this article relative to oaths, is guilty of a misdemeanor. " (Stats. 1943, Ch. 134.)

Please immediately turn over the requested records.

Regards,

Christine Grab

Evidence 16 - I

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christi Grab

Subject: Fwd: CPRA (or maybe LORA?) Request

Date: February 2, 2024 at 3:23:42 PM PST

To: "olc.humanresources@lc.ca.gov" <olc.humanresources@LC.ca.gov>

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christi Grab

Subject: CPRA (or maybe LORA?) Request

Date: January 30, 2024 at 4:50:56 PM PST

To: "OLChumanresources@olc.ca.gov" < OLChumanresources@OLC.ca.gov>

Hello Huma Resources:

I sent a request in last week via the OLC website "contact us" form. Since I never got a confirmation that it had been received, I am following up.

If it wasn't received, then guess we can consider this another formal request.

Since your office is connected with the Legislature, I am not sure if this qualifies as a CPRA request or as a LORA request, but either way, I am requesting the following information:

1 and 2. Oath and bond for Stephen Dehrer

3 and 4. Oath and bond for Cara Jenkins

- 5. All pages from all of OLC's standard operating policy and procedure manuals regarding oaths, including issuance, recording, filing, etc. in accordance with California Government Code Section 1360-1369 and the <u>California Constitution</u>, Section XX, Section 3.
- 6. All pages from all of OLC's standard operating policy and procedure manuals regarding bonds, including issuance, recording, filing, etc. in accordance California Government Code Section 1450 1463.

If you have any questions or concerns, I can be reached at

Thank you in advance for your help.

Christine Grab

Evidence 16 - 2 of 7

From: Christi Grab

Subject: Another Follow Up to find out if you will fulfill the the LORA Request or if it is still denied

Date: February 9, 2024 at 4:52 PM

To: Londenberg, Kathryn Kathryn. olc.humanresources@LC.ca.gov

olc.humanresources@lc.ca.gov

# Hello Ms. Londenberg:

I left another message for you yesterday with the gentleman who answered the phone. I am still waiting to hear whether or not my LORA request is still denied. If so, please explain why OLC is exempt from the laws that I cited below. As I stated in a previous email, it is my belief that the laws you cited are meant for Legislative matters, not Human Resource matters and that OLC is not exempt from the laws governing personnel records.

Regards,

### Christine Grab

Begin forwarded message: From: Christi Grab Subject: Follow Up to find out if you will fulfill the the LORA Request or if it is still denied Date: February 7, 2024 at 4-10-2 To: "Londenberg, Kathryn" "olc.humanresources@lc.ca.gov" colc.humanresources@LC.ca.gov> Hello Ms. Londenberg:

I left you a message yesterday with the person who answered the phone.

I am checking in to see whether or not you will fulfill the LORA request. Given the statutes cited below, I believe the Legislative Counsel is required to fulfill this request.

If you are not going to fulfill the requests, please state why you believe the statutes that you cited overrule the statutes that I cited.

Regards,

#### Christine Grab

Subject: Re: Public Records Act Request Date: February 2, 2024 at Ms. Londenberg.

Thank you for the prompt reply.

Bonds and oaths are both required by law in order to be employed by the State of California. My understanding is that, per the Brown Act, we constituents have a right to know whether or not the people we the constituents have employed are in compliance with the oath and

Evidence 16 -

olc.humanresources@lc.ca.gov

olc.humanresources@LC.ca.gov

# CA Gov't Code Section 1460

"Every officer with whom official bonds are filed shall carefully keep and preserve the bonds. He shall give certified copies thereof to any person demanding copies, upon being paid the same fees as are allowed by law for certified copies of papers in other cases."

Begin forwarded message: From: Christi Grab Subject: Re: Public Records Act Request Date: February 2, 2024 at 6:47:46 PM PST To: "Londenberg, Kathryn" Ms. Londenberg,

Thank you for the prompt reply.

Bonds and oaths are both required by law in order to be employed by the State of California. My understanding is that, per the Brown Act, we constituents have a right to know whether or not the people we the constituents have employed are in compliance with the oath and bond laws:

"The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created." §54950.5, Cal. Civ. Code, Brown Act.

I do not believe that the laws that you cited apply to this particular situation, as this is a HR matter and not a legislative matter, per se. As such, I do not believe it is lawful to refuse to disclose whether OLC employees are working lawfully in accordance with the employment laws. Please provide the documents.

Regards,

Christine Grab

On Feb 2, 2024, at 6:21 PM, Londenberg, Kathryn

Dear Ms. Grab:

Please find attached the Office of Legislative Counsel's response to your recent request for records.

Evidence 16 - 4

Best regards,

Kathryn Londenberg

Chief Deputy Legislative Counsel California Office of Legislative Counsel

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure, or distribution not authorized by the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. The distribution of this message by email to persons outside of the Legislature or the Office of Legislative Counsel may not be secure and could result in unauthorized access. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

<CPRA Response.pdf>

Evidence 16-5 of 7