

Christine Grab

January 23, 2024

Office of Inspector General/MAILSTOP 0305
Department of Homeland Security
245 Murray Lane SW
Washington, DC 20528-0305

Dear Regulators:

On August 21, 2023, I notified you that Susan Maples was working unlawfully without executed Oath of Allegiance in violation of Government Code sections 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California, which states that every State of California employee must sign STD 689, Oath of Allegiance before beginning the duties of their employment. I believe that she is considered to be a *foreign agent posing as a government agent* and is subject to prosecution under Title 18 U.S.C. § 912.

In that letter, I forgot to provide proof that Susan D'Antonio and Susan Maples are the same person (I assume she married or divorced after the oath was issued). Enclosed is the cover letter from the Franchise Tax Board which stated that they are the same person. Also enclosed is another copy of her unexecuted oath (exhibit 1).

In that letter, I also forgot to mention that for the nearly six years (exhibit 2) that Susan Maples was Franchise Tax Board Taxpayer Advocate, she refused to deal with issues questioning the constitutionality of FTB's policies and procedures in accordance with FTB's policies. Despite the fact that her job was literally to protect these constitutional rights, as a part of her normal job duties, she consistently whitewashed FTB's systematic violations of state and federal laws. Enclosed is a portion of the page from FTB's website titled "Taxpayer Advocate Services" (<https://www.ftb.ca.gov/help/disagree-or-resolve-an-issue/taxpayer-advocate-services.html>) (exhibit 3). See section titled "What we cannot do." I do not believe it was an "oversight" that Ms. Maples didn't have an executed oath.

In that letter, I also stated that I would let you know if Ms. Maples and other FTB staffers had bonds issued to them in accordance with California Government Code section 1450 - 1463. Enclosed is a letter from the Department of General Services (DGS) dated October 3, 2023 (exhibit 4) and a letter from the California Secretary of State (SOS) dated November 1, 2023 (exhibit 5). Both letters state that they have no records of bonds for Susan Maples or for any other State of California employee that I have asked about. Increasingly, it is looking like the State of California does not issue bonds. I am trying to gather more evidence, and I will send a separate letter on that topic in the future.

On September 5, 2023, I mailed you a letter notifying you that State Senator Toni Atkins and her deputy, Jason Weisz, have both been working unlawfully under expired oaths. That is, if they'd taken

the oaths. The Senate Committee had redacted the signatures of both the signer and the witness, so there was no way to know if the oaths had been executed.

At that point in time, I had no information about whether bonds had been issued on Ms. Atkins and Mr. Weisz. In the letter from DGS dated October 3, 2023 mentioned above, DGS confirmed they did not hold nor procure a bond/insurance policy for either Ms. Atkins nor Mr. Weisz. The letter specifically states that the author, Kevin Tateyama, believed that both Atkins and Weisz were employed by FTB. I did send an email clarifying that they worked for the State Senate, but Mr. Tateyama never responded (exhibit 4).

In the letter from the SOS dated November 1, 2023 mentioned above, the SOS did provide proof that Atkins does have a current, properly executed oath on file. However, as stated above, the SOS stated that Atkins does not have a bond as is required in accordance with California Government Code section 1450 – 1463, so Ms. Atkins is still working unlawfully (exhibit 5).

The SOS also stated that Jason Weisz does not have an Oath of Office on file with them for his position as a State Senate staffer. Mr. Weisz does have an Oath on file with the SOS for the California Pharmacy Board that he sits on (exhibit 5). According to his biography on the Pharmacy Board website (exhibit 6), he also used to work for Assemblymember Christine Kehoe, so it appears that he was also working unlawfully without an oath for Ms. Kehoe, as well:
https://www.pharmacy.ca.gov/about/board_members.shtml.

As stated above, the SOS stated that Mr. Weisz does not have a bond as is required in accordance with California Government Code section 1450 – 1463 (exhibit 5).

I believe that, since Mr. Weisz was never lawfully employed by the State of California in his position as a Senate Staffer, Mr. Weisz should be immediately terminated and all of his work voided for the duration of his employment with Senator Atkins.

I believe that the oath that the Senate Committee sent me was not properly executed (for your convenience, I have enclosed another copy as exhibit 7), and thus it was never filed with the SOS (or maybe they tried to file it and the SOS rejected it). I believe the purpose of the redactions was to hide that Mr. Weisz's oath was not properly executed.

The person who took responsibility for the improper redactions was the Secretary of the Senate Erika Contreras. I believe that Ms. Contreras should be prosecuted for improperly redacting documents to hide Mr. Weisz's violation of the law. Interestingly, according to the SOS (exhibit 5), Ms. Contreras did take proper oaths for 2019 – 2020 and 2023 – 2024, but Ms. Contreras never filed an oath for 2021 – 2022, so she was working unlawfully for those two years.

If you have any questions, my contact information is above.

Regards,



Christine Grab