



From: Christi Grab [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Are you going to participate in the criminal cover up scheme? FTB and the Board did not reply, which is silent admission that they know the order to redact oaths was unlawful.
Date: January 5, 2024 at 5:25 PM
To: [redacted]

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christi Grab [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Are you going to participate in the criminal cover up scheme? FTB and the Board did not reply, which is silent admission that they know the order to redact oaths was unlawful.
Date: January 4, 2024 at 7:06:49 PM PST
To: [redacted]


Below is an excerpt from the fifth ORIM claim that I filed in the latter part of 2023. As you can see, I've been working on this lawsuit for years and my ducks are in a row.

I am sending this information to you directly because I want to make sure that no one misrepresents the situation to Ms. Lieber. As I mentioned in a couple of letters that I forwarded to you, FTB's legal department are masters at setting people up as patsies to protect themselves.

My guess is that Ms. Lieber will probably choose to go along with the cover up, just like the rest of the board. But at least she'll be making that choice with eyes wide open. And, as I said in another email, I wouldn't be surprised if coercion is a factor in her choice to go along with the cover up.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christi Grab [redacted]
Subject: Are you going to participate in the criminal cover up scheme? FTB and the Board did not reply, which is silent admission that they know the order to redact oaths was unlawful.
Date: September 27, 2023 at 11:23:50 AM PDT



Mr. Mayorga,

Pretending allegations were never made seems to be FTB's favored strategy, so I am not surprised that I never got a response from FTB or the Board of Directors. The silence is their confirmation that FTB and the Board of Directors all know that redacting the oaths is unlawful. If they genuinely believed this behavior to be lawful, they would have spoken up to defend why my interpretation was wrong.

While Mr. Rouse has taken ownership of the decision to redact the documents, **it does not absolve you of your responsibility to refuse to carry out his orders if you believe that his orders are unlawful.**