STATE OF CALIFORNIA **GOVERNMENT CLAIM**

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES OFFICE OF RISKAND INSURANCE MANAGEMENT

DGS ORIM 006 (Rev. 08/19)		OF FIGE OF MONAND INCOMMOD MANAGEMEN			
CLAIMANT INFORMATION					
LAST NAME Grab	FIRST NAME Christine	- 1 - G + 1+1 + 1		MIDDLE INITIAL	
INMATE OR PATIENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (if applicable)	BUSINESS NAME	(if applicable)			
TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS				
MAILING ADDRESS	CITY San Diego		STATE Ca	ZIP	
IS THE CLAIMANT UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE? Yes No	INSURED NAME(I	INSURED NAME(Insurance Company Subrogation)			
IS THIS AN AMENDMENT TO A PREVIOUSLY EXISTING CLAIM? Yes No	EXISTING CLAIM NU	FING CLAIM NUMBER (if applicable) EXISTING CLAI		NIMANT NAME(if applicable)	
ATTORNEY OR REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION	Programme Annual Control				
LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	STATE OF THE PARTY		MIDDLEINITIAL	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS				
MAILING ADDRESS	CITY		STATE	ZIP	
CLAIM INFORMATION					
STATE AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES AGAINST WHOM THECLAIM IS FILED State Senate			DATE OF INCIDENT 8-14-2023 and 09-05-2023		
LATE CLAIM EXPLANATION (Required, if incident was more than six mon Please see attached for details	ths ago)				
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF CLAIM \$22,000		CASE TYPE(Required, if amount is more than \$10,000)			
DOLLAR AMOUNT EXPLANATION Please see attached for details	<u> </u> ■ Limite	d (\$25,000 or less)	Non-Limited (over\$25,000)	
INCIDENT LOCATION was in San Diego, CA.					
SPECIFIC DAMAGE OR INJURY DESCRIPTION Please see attached for details					

CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO DAMAGE OR INJURY

Please see attached for details

EXPLAIN WHY YOU BELIEVE THE STATE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DAMAGE OR INJURY
All four individuals are State of California employees who were representing the State in their official capacities when they committed the violations.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA **GOVERNMENT CLAIM**

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES OFFICE OF RISKAND INSURANCE MANAGEMENT

DGS ORIM 006 (Rev. 08/19)

AUTOMOBILE CLAIM INFORMATION			38.726	
DOES THE CLAIM INVOLVE A STATE VEHICLE? Yes No		VEHICLE LICENSE NUMBER(if known) STATE DRIVER NAME (if known)		
HAS A CLAIM BEEN FILED WITH YOUR INSURANCE Yes No	E CARRIER?	INSURANCE CAR	RIER NAME	INSURANCE CLAIM NUMBER
HAVE YOU RECEIVEDAN INSURANCE PAYMENT FOR TH Yes No	IS DAMAGE OR INJURY?	AMOUNT RECEIV	ED (if any)	AMOUNT OF DEDUCTIBLE(if any)
NOTICE AND SIGNATURE				
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law the best of my information and belief. I further misleading I may be charged with a felony pun section 72). SIGNATURE	understand that if I ha ishable by up to four y	ve provided inform	nation that is false	intentionally incomplete or
SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME			DATE
INSTRUCTIONS				MS51.00- PA 40.000.000
Mail the claim form and all attachments to: Office of Risk and Insurance Management Government Claims Program P.O.Box 989052, MS414 West Sacramento,CA 95798-9052	Claim forms can also be delivered to: Office of Risk and Insurance Management Government Claims Program 707 3rd Street, 1st Floor West Sacramento,CA 95605 1-800-955-0045			
Department	of General Services			ection
This notice is provided pursuant to the Information Privacy Act (Public Law93-579).				
The Department of General Services(DGS),Offic form pursuant to Government Code Section 905.	e of Risk and Insurance 2(c).	e Management (OR	IM),is requesting th	ne information specified on this
The principal purpose for requesting this data is to to another agency where the transfer is necessary compatible with a purpose for which the information Section 1798.25.	for the transferee-age	ncy to perform its co	nstitutional or statu	itory duties and the use is
Individuals should not provide personal informati	on that is not requeste	d.		
The submission of all information requested is ma information provided is deemed incomplete or unr	ndatory unless otherwi eadable, this may resu	se noted. If you fail It in a delay in proce	o provide the infornessing.	nation requested toDGS,or if the
Department Privacy Policy				

Manual 5310-5310.7). For more information on how we care for your personal information, please read the DGS PrivacyPolicy. Access to Your Information

ORIM is responsible for maintaining collected records and retaining them for 5 years. You have a right to access records containing personal information maintained by the state entity. To request access, contact:

The information collected by DGS is subject to the limitations in the Information Practices Act of 1977and state policy (see State Administrative

DGSORIM Public Records Officer 707 3rdSt., West Sacramento,CA 95605 (916) 376-5300

Department of General Services Office of Risk and Insurance Management Government Claims Program

Claimant:

Christine N. Grab,

Accused 1:

Erika Contreras Secretary of the Senate Operations Office of the Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 307 Sacramento, CA 95814

Accused 2:

John Nam
Deputy Secretary of the Senate Operations
Office of the Secretary of the Senate
State Capitol, Room 307
Sacramento, CA 95814

Accused 3:

Toni Atkins State Senator President *Pro Tempore* 1021 O Street, Suite 8518 Sacramento, CA 95814

Accused 4:

Jason Weisz Senior District Representative for State Senator Toni Atkins 7575 Metropolitan Drive, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92108

Overview of Claim

Erika Contreras and John Nam, both employees of the Secretary of the Senate Operations department, violated my rights under the Legislative Open Records Act, Gov code 9070 et seq. and 18 US Code 242. Ms. Contreras violated my rights by responding with improperly redacted documents and Mr. Nam violated my rights by refusing to send unredacted documents.

State Senator President *Pro Tempore* Toni Atkins and her Senior District Representative Jason Weisz have both violated 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California since they are both working unlawfully as both of their Oaths of Office have expired.

Details of Claim

On July 17, 2023, I made a request for copies of Oaths of Office for State Senator President *Pro Tempore* Toni Atkins and one of her staff, Jason Weisz. Per 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, all elected officers and appointees are required to take an Oath of Office. Per Government Code sections 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California, all employees of the State of California are required to take an Oath of Office or Oath of Allegiance prior to beginning employment with a government agency.

I made this request via the "Contact Us" form on Senator Atkins website. On July 18, 2023, the Senate Rules Committee sent a confirmation that they had received the request, which had been forwarded from Senator Atkins office (exhibit 1).

Over the last few years, I have made over 80 oath requests from seven different

California State agencies. Up until now, I have gotten responsive documents in two weeks or less

(with the exception of one request which was apparently lost in the USPS mail). On August 5,

2023, I sent a follow up request asking when I should expect the documents since they had already exceeded the normal two-week response time (exhibit 2). The Senate Operations responded on August 8, 2023, that the request was being processed and gave no time frame to expect to receive the documents (exhibit 2).

On August 18, 2023, Erika Contreras violated my rights under the Legislative Open Records Act, Gov code 9070 *et seq.*, by responding with improperly redacted documents (exhibit 3). In doing so, Ms. Contreras has also violated 18 US Code 242 by not allowing me full and free access of information in accordance with my rights, which is a Class A misdemeanor.

The documents provided hid the signatures of the person swearing the oath and the witness. The way to tell if an oath is properly executed is to see if it is properly signed by the person swearing that oath, properly signed by the person witnessing the oath being administered, and that the document is properly dated. While Oaths of Allegiance do not have an expiration date, Oaths of Office do have an expiration date listed on them. For elected officials, the expiration date is usually listed as end of that person's elected term.

By hiding the signatures, it is impossible to determine whether the oaths were properly executed. As stated above, I have now received over 80 oaths in response to public records requests; this is the first time I have received a redacted oath.

On August 31, 2021, I sent an email demanding unreducted documents. I gave a deadline to respond of 09:00 am on Tuesday, September 5, 2023 (exhibit 4). On September 5, 2023, at 10:36 am, I called Ms. Contreras to ask if she intended to send over unreducted documents. I left a message for her.

At 10:40 am, John Nam returned my call on Ms. Contreras's behalf. Mr. Nam stated that the LORA request had already been fulfilled. I stated at least a half-dozen times that the

documents had been unlawfully redacted and asked him at least a half-dozen times if he would send unredacted documents. Mr. Nam never denied the allegation that the documents were improperly redacted; he simply repeated over and over again that the LORA request had already been fulfilled. Per CCP § 431.20(a), failure to deny constitutes admission: Any material allegation in the complaint that is not effectively denied is deemed admitted. [see Hennefer v. Butcher (1986) 182 CA3d 492, 504, 227 CR 318, 325]. By failing to deny the allegations that the documents were unlawfully redacted, he has tacitly admitted that he was aware that Ms. Contreras had violated the law. This makes him a co-conspirator in violating my rights under the Legislative Open Records Act, Gov code 9070 et seq. and 18 US Code 242.

On September 5, 2023, at 1:01 pm, I sent Ms. Contreras and Mr. Nam an email letting them know the charges I was Accusing them of and asking them to provide a legal statute to justify the lack of disclosure. As of this writing, neither have responded (exhibit 5). If either of them respind, I will send a supplement to this Claim.

Despite the redactions, it appears both oaths have already expired, which means that both Toni Atkins and Jason Weisz are both working unlawfully without a current, fully executed oath (exhibit 3). My understanding is that anyone who is working unlawfully without an executed Oath is considered to be a foreign agent posing as a government agent and subject to prosecution under Title 18 U.S.C. § 912, which includes fines and/or imprisonment.

Toni Atkins is an elected official. Her first term ran from January 2017 through

December 2020. She was re-elected in the November 2020 election. Her second term began in

January 2021 and ends in December 2024. Ms. Atkins oath is dated December 7, 2020 and

doesn't have an expiration date listed. At the top, it says the oath covers the 2021 - 2022 Regular Session. I believe that this means that the oath is currently expired because we are now in 2023.

Jason Weisz's oath is dated December 5, 2016. It didn't have an expiration date on it as it should have; however, we know this oath expired in December 2020 when Ms. Atkins's term ended. It appears he never signed an oath when the new session started in 2021 and is currently working unlawfully without an oath.

Dollar Amount of Claim and Explanation

I seek restitution of \$1,000 from Accused Erika Contreras for violating my rights under the Legislative Open Records Act, Gov code 9070 et seq., by responding with improperly redacted documents. In doing so, Ms. Contreras has also violated 18 US Code 242 by not allowing me full and free access of information in accordance with my rights, which is a Class A misdemeanor.

I seek restitution of \$1,000 from Accused John Nam for violating my rights under the Legislative Open Records Act, Gov code 9070 et seq., by refusing to provide unredacted documents. In doing so, Mr. Nam has also violated 18 US Code 242 by not allowing me full and free access of information in accordance with my rights, which is a Class A misdemeanor.

I seek restitution of \$10,000 from Accused Toni Atkins for working unlawfully without a valid oath in violation of 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California.

I seek restitution of \$10,000 from Accused Jason Weisz for working unlawfully without a valid oath in violation of 5 US Code 3331 and California Gov Code section 1360, 1362-1369 and Section 3 of Article XX of the Constitution of California.

In all, I seek financial restitution of \$22,000.

Non-Financial Restitution

I demand unreducted copies of the Oaths of Office for both Toni Atkins and Jason Weisz. If the unreducted documents show issues with any of the signatures which would invalidate said oaths, I demand that ORIM immediately revoke the insurance policies for both Ms. Contreras and Mr. Nam for knowingly conspiring to cover up unlawful activities. My understanding is that the revocation of insurance policies will result in immediate termination.

Furthermore, I demand that ORIM immediately revoke the insurance policies for both Ms. Atkins and Mr. Weisz since their employment is unlawful. My understanding is that the revocation of insurance policies will result in immediate termination. I also demand that Toni Atkins and Jason Weisz both be immediately prosecuted for the felony crime of *falsely posing as government officials* in accordance with Title 18 U.S.C. § 912.

Verification

I declare under penalty of perjury under the State of California that all of the information that I have provided is true and correct to the best of my information and belief. I further understand that if I have provided information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading, I may be charged with a felony punishable by up to four years in state prison and/or a fine of up to \$10,000 (Penal Code Section 72).

Christine N. Grab